

ANNEXES

PROGRESS TOWARD DURABLE SOLUTIONS IN IRAQ: A PILOT PROJECT IN NINEWA GOVERNORATE

AUGUST 2023



ANNEXES

Table 1: Number and percentage of IDP and returnee households by subdistricts

SUBDISTRICT	IDPS		RETURNEES	
	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLDS	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLDS
Al- Muhalabiya	11	0%	5,094	2%
Al-Namroud	28	0%	4,303	1%
Al-Qahtaniya	32	0%	2,625	1%
Al-Qayara	1,173	3%	9,938	3%
Al-Qosh	660	2%	2,276	1%
Al-Shamal	2,967	7%	12,281	4%
Al-Shura	-	0%	9,010	3%
Altal	603	1%	5,472	2%
Atroush	48	0%	-	0%
Ayadiya	-	0%	5,222	2%
Ba'adre	2,667	6%	-	0%
Baashiqa	270	1%	22,388	7%
Bardarash	1,014	2%	-	0%
Bartalla	-	0%	15,046	5%
Bjeel	19	0%	-	0%
Daratu	116	0%	-	0%
Dinarta	60	0%	-	0%
Gerdaseen	335	1%	-	0%
Hamam Al-Aleel	76	0%	9,750	3%
Kalak	673	2%	-	0%
Markaz Akre	1,897	5%	-	0%
Markaz Al-Ba'aj	1,227	3%	6,614	2%
Markaz Al-Hamdaniya	-	0%	9,387	3%
Markaz Al-Shikhan	3,467	8%	357	0%
Markaz Hatra	87	0%	2,356	1%
Markaz Mosul	17,627	42%	122,282	38%
Markaz Sinjar	3,025	7%	3,171	1%
Markaz Telafar	148	0%	29,152	9%
Markaz Tilkaif	655	2%	8,096	3%
Qaeyrwan	41	0%	4,713	1%
Qasrouk	511	1%	-	0%
Rovia	628	2%	-	0%
Rubiya	1,188	3%	9,694	3%
Wanna	45	0%	6,827	2%
Zilkan	223	1%	-	0%
Zummar	177	0%	16,356	5%
Total	41,697	100%	322,410	100%

Table 2: Main socio-demographic characteristics of IDP and returnee households

			IDP	RETURNEE
Population structure	Age	<5	14%	14%
		5-17	38%	32%
		18-59	45%	48%
		60+	3%	5%
		Total	100%	100%
	Sex	Males	50%	50%
		Females	50%	50%
Total		100%	100%	
Average size of household			7.38	7.49
Dependency ratio (balance between children, older persons, and working-age members of HH)			1.22	1.08
Characteristics of the Head of Household (HoH)	Sex	Female	13%	17%
		Male	87%	83%
		Total	100%	100%
	Age	18-59	87%	83%
		60+	13%	22%
		Total	100%	100%
	Marital status	Married (in a union)	90%	86%
		Widowed	8%	12%
		Separated or divorced	1%	1%
		Single	1%	1%
		Total	100%	100%
	Education	No education or elementary school not completed	58%	38%
		Elementary school	27%	37%
		Secondary school not completed	7%	8%
		Secondary school	3%	4%
		Professional diploma	2%	6%
		University degree	3%	7%
		Total	100%	100%
	Absence	Living with the household	99%	100%
		Absent (dead, imprisoned, or living elsewhere)	1%	<1%
		Total	100%	100%
	Source of income	Stable	23%	38%
		Unstable or not working	77%	62%
Total		100%	100%	

Table 3: Main displacement characteristics of IDP and returnee households

		IDPS	RETURNEES
Displaced before 2014	No	97%	94%
	Yes	3%	6%
	Total	100%	100%
Date of first displacement	2014	67%	46%
	2015	6%	4%
	2016	8%	21%
	2017	19%	28%
	2018-2022	<1%	1%
	Total	100%	100%
Length of arrival at current location (for IDPs) and Length of return (for Returnees)	< 2 years	7%	3%
	2-4 years	36%	36%
	5 years or more	57%	61%
	Total	100%	100%
Number of displacements	One	42%	70%
	More than one	58%	30%
	Total	100%	100%
Moved abroad (> 1 month)	No	97%	97%
	Yes	3%	3%
	Total	100%	100%
Failed returns	No	74%	-
	Yes	26%	-
	Total	100%	-
Intra-district displacement	No	71%	-
	Yes	29%	-
	Total	100%	-
District of origin (for IDPs)	Mosul	34%	-
	Sinjar	22%	-
	Al Ba'aj	21%	-
	Telafar	10%	-
	Al-Hamdaniya	6%	-
	Hatra	4%	-
	Makhmur	1%	-
	Tilkaif	1%	-
	Other ¹	1%	-
	Total	100%	-
Reasons for not returning at origin (maximum three reasons, IDP households only)	Home destruction	70%	-
	Lack of livelihoods opportunities	65%	-
	Inadequate services or infrastructure	39%	-
	Security concerns	33%	-
	We want to stay where we are	26%	-
	Failed return	7%	-
	Unable to obtain security clearance	7%	-
	Blocked returns by security actors	5%	-
	Other	<1%	-
	Total	250%	-
Preferable solution	Stay in the current location	58%	95%
	Return to their place of origin	31%	-
	Move to another location in Iraq	1%	3%
	Move abroad	7%	1%
	Undecided	3%	1%
	Total	100%	100%

1 Other districts include Abu Ghraib, Akre, Al Hawiga, Al Shikhan, Al Shirqat, Haditha, Karkh, Kirkuk, Tikrit and Zakho

Table 4. Progress towards durable solutions – IDP households

SUBDISTRICT	PROGRESS TOWARDS DS				PROGRESS TOWARDS DS			
	LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH	TOTAL	LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH	TOTAL
	ROW %	ROW %	ROW %	ROW %	COUNT	COUNT	COUNT	COUNT
Al- Muhalabiya	0%	82%	18%	100%	0	9	2	11
Al-Namroud	28%	63%	9%	100%	8	18	3	28
Al-Qahtaniya	23%	69%	8%	100%	7	22	2	32
Al-Qayara	41%	48%	11%	100%	480	569	124	1173
Al-Qosh	10%	55%	34%	100%	69	366	225	660
Al-Shamal	50%	41%	9%	100%	1481	1228	258	2967
Al-Shura	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0
Altal	8%	92%	0%	100%	48	555	0	603
Atroush	19%	71%	10%	100%	9	34	5	48
Ayadiya	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0
Ba'adre	7%	91%	2%	100%	188	2436	42	2667
Baashiqa	4%	65%	30%	100%	12	176	82	270
Bardarash	1%	58%	41%	100%	8	589	417	1014
Bartalla	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0
Bjeel	0%	63%	38%	100%	0	12	7	19
Daratu	8%	50%	42%	100%	9	58	49	116
Dinarta	5%	68%	27%	100%	3	41	16	60
Gerdaseen	2%	81%	16%	100%	8	273	54	335
Hamam al Aleel	18%	59%	22%	100%	14	45	17	76
Kalak	5%	58%	38%	100%	31	389	253	673
Markaz Akre	2%	93%	4%	100%	42	1773	83	1897
Markaz Al-Ba'aj	9%	91%	0%	100%	108	1119	0	1227
Markaz Al-Hamdaniya	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0
Markaz Al-Shikhan	5%	80%	15%	100%	184	2768	515	3467
Markaz Hatra	12%	88%	0%	100%	11	76	0	87
Markaz Mosul	4%	76%	20%	100%	706	13421	3500	17627
Markaz Sinjar	4%	73%	23%	100%	133	2196	696	3025
Markaz Telafar	9%	72%	19%	100%	13	107	28	148
Markaz Tilkaif	6%	75%	19%	100%	38	494	124	655
Qaeyrrawan	0%	100%	0%	100%	0	41	0	41
Qasrouk	6%	61%	32%	100%	33	312	166	511
Rovia	5%	75%	20%	100%	30	471	128	628
Rubiya	35%	63%	2%	100%	412	753	22	1188
Wanna	0%	36%	64%	100%	0	16	29	45
Zilkan	0%	85%	15%	100%	0	190	33	223
Zummar	0%	91%	9%	100%	0	160	17	177
Total	10%	74%	16%	100%	4082	30717	6898	41697

Table 5. Progress towards durable solutions by criterion and overall average – IDP households

SUBDISTRICT	PROGRESS TOWARDS DS					OVERALL AVERAGE
	SAFETY AND SECURITY	STANDARDS OF LIVING	EMPLOYMENT AND LIVELIHOODS	HLP AND RESTITUTION	DOCUMENTATION AND PARTICIPATION	
Al- Muhalabiya	100%	100%	18%	0%	100%	3.20
Al-Namroud	72%	63%	28%	0%	28%	1.91
Al-Qahtaniya	69%	23%	31%	0%	100%	2.23
Al-Qayara	71%	14%	40%	0%	57%	1.79
Al-Qosh	90%	57%	63%	1%	80%	3.06
Al-Shamal	97%	28%	23%	0%	35%	2.11
Altal	100%	10%	15%	0%	91%	2.16
Atroush	100%	26%	36%	0%	71%	2.30
Ba'adre	92%	3%	27%	0%	96%	2.17
Baashiqa	91%	51%	61%	4%	84%	2.91
Bardarash	94%	86%	37%	31%	89%	3.46
Bjeel	100%	63%	38%	38%	88%	3.25
Daratu	100%	55%	84%	0%	82%	3.21
Dinarta	100%	36%	59%	0%	91%	2.86
Gerdaseen	91%	56%	32%	0%	95%	2.74
Hamam al Aleel	100%	35%	33%	18%	70%	2.55
Kalak	97%	64%	39%	26%	86%	3.12
Markaz Akre	99%	13%	4%	18%	96%	2.31
Markaz Al-Ba'aj	98%	0%	17%	0%	92%	2.07
Markaz Al-Shikhan	96%	28%	35%	1%	94%	2.74
Markaz Hatra	94%	6%	42%	0%	81%	2.23
Markaz Mosul	98%	55%	27%	7%	94%	2.91
Markaz Sinjar	92%	46%	40%	0%	93%	2.67
Markaz Telafar	87%	38%	53%	0%	87%	2.66
Markaz Tilkaif	87%	34%	49%	1%	92%	2.63
Qaeyrrawan	100%	0%	82%	0%	89%	2.71
Qasrouk	99%	60%	58%	6%	73%	2.96
Rovia	98%	39%	35%	2%	94%	2.68
Rubiya	70%	16%	29%	0%	64%	1.82
Wanna	100%	76%	76%	0%	100%	3.53
Zilkan	98%	21%	30%	0%	100%	2.49
Zummar	100%	11%	24%	2%	100%	2.36
Total	95%	40%	30%	5%	87%	2.58

Table 6: Progress towards durable solutions by main characteristics – IDP households

		PROGRESS TOWARDS DS			
		LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH	TOTAL
DISTRICT OF DISPLACEMENT	Akre	3%	12%	15%	11%
	Al-Ba'aj	3%	4%	0%	3%
	Al-Hamdaniya	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Al-Shikhan	10%	19%	11%	17%
	Hatra	1%	2%	0%	2%
	Mosul	30%	46%	54%	46%
	Sinjar	40%	11%	14%	14%
	Telafar	10%	3%	1%	4%
	Tilkaif	3%	3%	5%	3%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
SUBDISTRICT OF DISPLACEMENT	Al- Muhalabiya	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Al-Namroud	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Al-Qahtaniya	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Al-Qayara	12%	2%	2%	3%
	Al-Qosh	2%	1%	3%	2%
	Al-Shamal	36%	4%	4%	7%
	Al-Shura	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Altal	1%	2%	0%	1%
	Atroush	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Ayadiya	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Ba'adre	5%	8%	1%	6%
	Baashiqqa	0%	1%	1%	1%
	Bardarash	0%	2%	6%	2%
	Bartalla	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Bjeel	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Daratu	0%	0%	1%	0%
	Dinarta	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Gerdaseen	0%	1%	1%	1%
	Hamam al Aleel	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Kalak	1%	1%	4%	2%
	Markaz Akre	1%	6%	1%	5%
	Markaz Al-Ba'aj	3%	4%	0%	3%
	Markaz Al-Hamdaniya	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Markaz Al-Shikhan	4%	9%	7%	8%
	Markaz Hatra	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Markaz Mosul	17%	44%	51%	42%
	Markaz Sinjar	3%	7%	10%	7%
	Markaz Telafar	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Markaz Tilkaif	1%	2%	2%	2%
	Qaeyrrawan	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Qasrouk	1%	1%	2%	1%
	Rovia	1%	2%	2%	2%
	Rubiya	10%	2%	0%	3%
Wanna	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Zilkan	0%	1%	0%	1%	
Zummar	0%	1%	0%	0%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	

		PROGRESS TOWARDS DS			
		LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH	TOTAL
SHELTER TYPE	House/Apt in good conditions	38%	56%	96%	61%
	House/Apt. damaged or destroyed	18%	12%	4%	11%
	Formal camp	17%	13%	0%	11%
	Mud or block structure	16%	13%	0%	11%
	Tent/makeshift shelter	9%	1%	0%	1%
	Hotel/motel/short term rental	0%	1%	0%	1%
	Other critical or collective shelter ²	2%	4%	0%	3%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
ADEQUACY OF CURRENT SHELTER ³	3 issues	5%	1%	0%	2%
	2 issues	17%	5%	0%	5%
	1 issue	31%	31%	16%	28%
	No issues	47%	63%	84%	65%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
OWNERSHIP/TENURE AGREEMENT OF CURRENT SHELTER	Informal agreement (living for free)	36%	16%	8%	16%
	Informal rental agreement	28%	24%	15%	23%
	Formal rental agreement	6%	23%	32%	22%
	Owned (never had documents or missing after 2014)	4%	12%	16%	12%
	Formal agreement (living for free)	17%	13%	0%	11%
	Owned (with legal documents)	3%	6%	23%	9%
	No agreement or Do not know	7%	6%	6%	6%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
SEX OF THE HOH	Female	24%	12%	11%	13%
	Male	76%	88%	89%	87%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
ETHNO-RELIGIOUS GROUP	Arab Sunni Muslim	44%	51%	50%	50%
	Christian	0%	0%	2%	1%
	Kurd Sunni Muslim	4%	15%	21%	15%
	Kurd Yazidi	47%	26%	16%	26%
	Other (Kakai, Prefer not to ask/answer)	3%	1%	0%	1%
	Other Sunni (Shabak, Turkmen)	2%	6%	10%	6%
	Shia (Arab, Shabak, Turkmen, Kurd)	0%	1%	1%	1%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
HH SIZE	1-2	4%	4%	3%	4%
	3-5	22%	24%	26%	24%
	6-9	46%	53%	49%	52%
	10+	28%	19%	22%	21%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
DEPENDENCY RATIO ⁴	Below 100	23%	28%	35%	29%
	100	12%	14%	18%	14%
	From 101 to 199	21%	20%	17%	20%
	200 or more	44%	38%	30%	37%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

2 Includes unfinished or abandoned buildings, caravans or containers, religious or school buildings, other collective buildings

3 A shelter was considered adequate if hosting less than 3 people per habitable room, have access to improved sanitation and drinking water.

4 The ratio of inactive members (those aged 0-17 and 60+) to active members (those aged 18-59) multiplied by 100. A ratio of 100 means that for every inactive member there is one member in the active age-bracket (able to legally work, but not necessarily employed). A ratio below 100 means that active members outweigh inactive members, whereas a ratio of over 100 means that inactive members outweigh active members.

		PROGRESS TOWARDS DS			
		LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH	TOTAL
YEAR OF FIRST DISPLACEMENT	2014	71%	68%	61%	67%
	2015	2%	5%	12%	6%
	2016	4%	6%	12%	8%
	2017	21%	20%	14%	19%
	2018-2022	2%	1%	1%	1%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
NUMBER OF DISPLACEMENTS	One	33%	44%	42%	42%
	More than one	67%	56%	58%	58%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
YEAR OF ARRIVAL AT CURRENT LOCATION	< 2 years	12%	7%	6%	7%
	2-4 years	46%	33%	39%	36%
	5 years or more	42%	60%	55%	58%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
ATTEMPTED TO RETURN	Yes	48%	24%	22%	26%
	No	52%	76%	78%	74%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
MOVED ABROAD (>1 MONTH)	Yes	4%	3%	3%	3%
	No	96%	97%	97%	97%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
FEELING OF SAFETY	Yes	87%	99%	100%	98%
	No	13%	1%	0%	2%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
FREE TO MOVE	Yes	82%	99%	100%	98%
	No	18%	1%	0%	2%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
COMFORTABLE WITH PUBLIC AUTHORITIES	Yes	87%	99%	100%	98%
	No	13%	1%	0%	2%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
COPING STRATEGY INDEX IN CLASSES	None	20%	25%	58%	30%
	Stressed	66%	46%	42%	47%
	Crisis	14%	28%	0%	22%
	Emergency	0%	1%	0%	1%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
ACCESS TO HEALTH (WHEN NEEDED)	Yes (or not needed)	53%	87%	99%	85%
	No	47%	13%	1%	15%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
ACCESS TO SANITATION	Yes	82%	92%	100%	93%
	No	18%	8%	0%	7%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
ACCESS TO DRINKING WATER	Yes	81%	94%	98%	93%
	No	19%	6%	2%	7%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
ADEQUACY OF ROOMS	Less than 3 people in room	58%	69%	86%	70%
	3 people or more per room	42%	31%	14%	30%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
FEAR OF EVICTION	Yes	79%	61%	30%	58%
	No	21%	39%	70%	42%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

		PROGRESS TOWARDS DS			
		LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH	TOTAL
AT LEAST ONE EMPLOYED HH MEMBER (15–60 YEARS OLD)	No one is working	30%	13%	1%	13%
	One or more members are working	70%	87%	99%	87%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
MAIN ACTIVITY OF THE HOH	Daily wages, subsistence agriculture, unemployed or inactive	95%	85%	30%	77%
	Employed (public, private or self-employed) or retired	5%	15%	70%	23%
ABLE TO SUSTAIN UNEXPECTED EXPENSES	No	94%	91%	60%	86%
	Yes	6%	9%	40%	14%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
HAVE NATIONAL OR UNIFIED ID	No	70%	3%	0%	9%
	Yes	30%	97%	100%	91%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
HAVE BIRTH CERTIFICATE ⁵	No	6%	0%	0%	1%
	Yes	94%	100%	100%	99%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
HAVE IRAQI NATIONALITY	No	35%	6%	6%	9%
	Yes	65%	94%	94%	91%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
HAVE PSE CARD (FOR PDS)	No	3%	1%	0%	1%
	Yes	97%	99%	100%	99%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
HAVE ALL ESSENTIAL DOCUMENTS	No	76%	9%	7%	16%
	Yes	23%	91%	93%	84%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
ISSUES FOR OBTAINING DOCUMENTS	Attempted to obtain documents	87%	66%	66%	77%
	Too expensive	62%	57%	47%	59%
	Too time consuming	44%	10%	0%	29%
	No issues	26%	34%	53%	30%
	Lack of support	8%	5%	0%	6%
	Cannot pay bribes	8%	2%	0%	5%
	Do not have connections	7%	20%	14%	12%
	Process is bias against me	2%	1%	0%	2%
VOTED IN 2021	Yes	70%	97%	100%	95%
	No or Prefer not to answer	30%	3%	0%	5%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
PARTICIPATION IN COMMUNITY OR SOCIAL ACTIVITY	No	92%	90%	89%	90%
	Yes	8%	10%	11%	10%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
DISCRIMINATION OR UNFAIR TREATMENT	Yes	11%	1%	1%	2%
	No	89%	99%	99%	98%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
INVOLVED IN A DISPUTE WITH COMMUNITY MEMBERS	Yes	7%	5%	4%	5%
	No	93%	95%	96%	95%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

		PROGRESS TOWARDS DS			
		LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH	TOTAL
ASSISTANCE (IN THE LAST 3 MONTHS) AND PROVIDERS	Received assistance	13%	9%	10%	9%
	UN or another humanitarian organisation	79%	87%	69%	83%
	Government	67%	23%	45%	33%
	Family or friends	10%	2%	3%	3%
REGISTERED WITH MOMD	Yes	68%	61%	51%	60%
	No or Don't know	31%	39%	48%	40%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
DISTRICT OF ORIGIN (IDPS)	Mosul	25%	33%	44%	34%
	Al-Ba'aj	42%	21%	11%	21%
	Sinjar	15%	23%	22%	22%
	Telafar	10%	11%	7%	10%
	Al-Hamdaniya	1%	5%	9%	6%
	Hatra	6%	4%	3%	4%
	Other	1%	2%	4%	3%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
HOME DESTRUCTION (AT ORIGIN)	Yes	83%	73%	50%	70%
	No	17%	27%	50%	30%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
ENTITLED TO COMPENSATION	Yes	59%	41%	44%	43%
	No or not aware of CCCA	41%	59%	56%	57%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
PREFERABLE SOLUTION IN THE NEXT 12 MONTHS	Stay in the current location	25%	58%	76%	58%
	Return to their place of origin	55%	31%	16%	31%
	Go abroad	9%	7%	7%	7%
	Undecided	9%	3%	0%	3%
	Move to a third location – within the country	2%	1%	1%	1%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
REASONS FOR NOT RETURNING AT ORIGIN	Home destruction	83%	73%	50%	70%
	No livelihoods opportunities	46%	65%	75%	65%
	Inadequate services or infrastructure	53%	38%	32%	39%
	Security concerns	33%	33%	30%	33%
	Willing to stay at current location	10%	26%	33%	26%
	Unable to obtain security clearance	15%	6%	3%	7%
	Tried to return but it was not sustainable	4%	6%	13%	7%
	Security actors blocking returns	6%	5%	3%	5%

Table 7: Progress towards durable solutions – Returnee households

SUBDISTRICT	PROGRESS TOWARDS DS				PROGRESS TOWARDS DS			
	LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH	TOTAL	LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH	TOTAL
	ROW %	ROW %	ROW %	ROW %	COUNT	COUNT	COUNT	COUNT
Al- Muhalabiya	0%	54%	46%	100%	0	2,763	2,331	5,094
Al-Namroud	5%	48%	48%	100%	198	2,059	2,046	4,303
Al-Qahtaniya	30%	68%	2%	100%	783	1,778	65	2,625
Al-Qayara	2%	25%	73%	100%	169	2,533	7,236	9,938
Al-Qosh	2%	60%	38%	100%	38	1,373	866	2,276
Al-Shamal	34%	55%	12%	100%	4,128	6,721	1,431	12,281
Al-Shura	2%	47%	50%	100%	215	4,271	4,524	9,010
Altal	0%	95%	5%	100%	0	5207	265	5,472
Atroush	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0
Ayadiya	3%	88%	9%	100%	137	4,617	467	5,222
Ba'adre	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0
Baashiqqa	1%	33%	66%	100%	233	7,456	14,699	22,388
Bardarash	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0
Bartalla	1%	41%	58%	100%	139	6,193	8,714	15,046
Bjeel	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0
Daratu	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0
Dinarta	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0
Gerdaseen	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0
Hamam al Aleel	3%	86%	11%	100%	272	8,361	1,117	9,750
Kalak	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0
Markaz Akre	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0
Markaz Al-Ba'aj	13%	87%	0%	100%	841	5,773	0	6,614
Markaz Al-Hamdaniya	0%	25%	75%	100%	0	2,383	7,004	9,387
Markaz Al-Shikhan	2%	75%	23%	100%	7	269	82	357
Markaz Hatra	12%	71%	17%	100%	275	1,670	411	2356
Markaz Mosul	0%	39%	61%	100%	0	47,690	74,592	122,282
Markaz Sinjar	3%	68%	29%	100%	86	2,149	935	3,171
Markaz Telafar	3%	58%	39%	100%	916	16,791	11,445	29,152
Markaz Tilkaif	5%	59%	35%	100%	430	4,802	2,864	8,096
Qaeyrrawan	2%	83%	15%	100%	92	3,912	709	4,713
Qasrouk	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0
Rovia	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0
Rubiya	6%	89%	5%	100%	563	8,655	476	9,694
Wanna	7%	79%	13%	100%	507	5,413	906	6,827
Zilkan	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0
Zummar	1%	72%	28%	100%	84	11,723	4,549	16,356
Total	3%	51%	46%	100%	10,115	164,562	147,733	322,410

Table 8: Progress towards durable solutions by criterion and overall average – Returnee households

SUBDISTRICT	PROGRESS TOWARDS DS					OVERALL AVERAGE
	SAFETY AND SECURITY	STANDARDS OF LIVING	EMPLOYMENT AND LIVELIHOODS	HLP AND RESTITUTION	DOCUMENTATION AND PARTICIPATION	
Al- Muhalabiya	100%	99%	44%	23%	99%	4.22
Al-Namroud	91%	70%	69%	14%	94%	3.38
Al-Qahtaniya	53%	17%	27%	0%	99%	1.98
Al-Qayara	95%	84%	76%	37%	98%	3.80
Al-Qosh	89%	63%	43%	21%	99%	3.20
Al-Shamal	99%	32%	27%	0%	50%	2.06
Al-Shura	97%	57%	54%	39%	95%	3.36
Altal	100%	23%	16%	5%	99%	2.36
Ayadiya	97%	21%	42%	5%	98%	2.66
Baashiqa	97%	78%	78%	11%	97%	3.64
Bartalla	95%	74%	80%	11%	93%	3.68
Hamam al Aleel	98%	19%	47%	1%	98%	2.78
Markaz Al-Ba'aj	92%	0%	4%	1%	95%	2.00
Markaz Al-Hamdaniya	95%	97%	79%	15%	96%	3.92
Markaz Al-Shikhan	96%	61%	42%	0%	96%	2.96
Markaz Hatra	93%	33%	42%	17%	84%	3.00
Markaz Mosul	98%	91%	32%	57%	96%	3.73
Markaz Sinjar	90%	62%	38%	2%	96%	2.90
Markaz Telafar	92%	44%	60%	21%	94%	3.11
Markaz Tilkaif	87%	61%	57%	9%	86%	2.83
Qaeyrrawan	93%	11%	59%	26%	96%	2.88
Rubiya	96%	43%	20%	1%	72%	2.32
Wanna	87%	48%	24%	1%	98%	2.48
Zummar	100%	30%	48%	4%	99%	2.72
Total	96%	66%	45%	30%	93%	3.00

Table 9: Progress towards durable solutions by main characteristics – Returnee households

		PROGRESS TOWARDS DS			
		LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH	TOTAL
DISTRICT	Akre	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Al-Ba'aj	16%	5%	0%	3%
	Al-Hamdaniya	3%	6%	12%	9%
	Al-Shikhan	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Hatra	3%	4%	0%	2%
	Mosul	9%	44%	71%	55%
	Sinjar	43%	8%	2%	6%
	Telafar	17%	25%	11%	19%
	Tilkaif	10%	7%	3%	5%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
SUBDISTRICT	Al- Muhalabiya	0%	2%	2%	2%
	Al-Namroud	2%	1%	1%	1%
	Al-Qahtaniya	8%	1%	0%	1%
	Al-Qayara	2%	2%	5%	3%
	Al-Qosh	0%	1%	1%	1%
	Al-Shamal	41%	4%	1%	4%
	Al-Shura	2%	3%	3%	3%
	Altal	0%	3%	0%	2%
	Atroush	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Ayadiya	1%	3%	0%	2%
	Ba'adre	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Baashiqa	2%	5%	10%	7%
	Bardarash	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Bartalla	1%	4%	6%	5%
	Bjeel	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Daratu	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Dinarta	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Gerdaseen	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Hamam al Aleel	3%	5%	1%	3%
	Kalak	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Markaz Akre	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Markaz Al-Ba'aj	8%	4%	0%	2%
	Markaz Al-Hamdaniya	0%	1%	5%	3%
	Markaz Al-Shikhan	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Markaz Hatra	3%	1%	0%	1%
	Markaz Mosul	0%	29%	50%	38%
	Markaz Sinjar	1%	1%	1%	1%
	Markaz Telafar	9%	10%	8%	9%
	Markaz Tilkaif	4%	3%	2%	3%
	Qaeyrrawan	1%	2%	0%	1%
	Qasrouk	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Rovia	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Rubiya	6%	5%	0%	3%
Wanna	5%	3%	1%	2%	
Zilkan	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Zummar	1%	7%	3%	5%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	

		PROGRESS TOWARDS DS			
		LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH	TOTAL
SHELTER TYPE	House/Apt in good conditions	24%	64%	99%	79%
	House/Apt. damaged or destroyed	39%	13%	1%	8%
	Mud or block structure	27%	13%	0%	8%
	Hotel/motel short term rental	1%	5%	0%	2%
	Other critical or collective shelter ⁶	9%	5%	0%	3%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
ADEQUACY OF CURRENT SHELTER ⁷	3 issues	4%	2%	0%	1%
	2 issues	5%	9%	2%	6%
	1 issue	37%	28%	11%	20%
	No issues	54%	61%	87%	73%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
OWNERSHIP/ TENURE AGREEMENT OF CURRENT SHELTER	Informal agreement (living for free)	10%	12%	1%	7%
	Informal rental agreement	3%	7%	1%	4%
	Formal rental agreement	4%	11%	9%	10%
	Owned (never had documents or missing after 2014)	63%	45%	22%	35%
	Owned (with legal documents)	19%	24%	67%	44%
	No agreement or Do not know	1%	1%	0%	1%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
SEX OF THE HOH	Female	21%	20%	14%	17%
	Male	79%	80%	86%	83%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
ETHNO-RELIGIOUS GROUP	Arab Sunni Muslim	33%	63%	63%	62%
	Christian	0%	1%	4%	2%
	Kurd Sunni Muslim	0%	5%	3%	4%
	Kurd Yazidi	49%	6%	2%	5%
	Other (Kakai, Prefer not to ask/answer)	0%	1%	2%	1%
	Other Sunni (Shabak, Turkmen)	5%	3%	3%	3%
	Shia (Arab, Shabak, Turkmen, Kurd)	13%	21%	23%	21%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
HH SIZE	1-2	8%	3%	4%	3%
	3-5	25%	28%	22%	25%
	6-9	39%	48%	53%	50%
	10+	28%	21%	21%	22%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
DEPENDENCY RATIO ⁸	Below 100	33%	33%	41%	37%
	100	19%	17%	15%	16%
	From 101 to 199	12%	20%	18%	19%
	200 or more	36%	30%	26%	29%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

6 Includes unfinished or abandoned buildings, caravans or containers, religious or school buildings, other collective buildings

7 A shelter was considered adequate if hosting less than 3 people per habitable room, have access to improved sanitation and drinking water.

8 The ratio of inactive members (those aged 0-17 and 60+) to active members (those aged 18-59) multiplied by 100. A ratio of 100 means that for every inactive member there is one member in the active age-bracket (able to legally work, but not necessarily employed). A ratio below 100 means that active members outweigh inactive members, whereas a ratio of over 100 means that inactive members outweigh active members.

		PROGRESS TOWARDS DS			
		LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH	TOTAL
YEAR OF FIRST DISPLACEMENT	2014	78%	49%	41%	47%
	2015	3%	2%	6%	4%
	2016	8%	23%	20%	20%
	2017	11%	25%	32%	28%
	2018-2022	0%	1%	1%	1%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
NUMBER OF DISPLACEMENTS	One	59%	62%	81%	70%
	More than one	41%	38%	19%	30%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
LENGTH OF RETURN	< 2 years	10%	5%	1%	3%
	2-4 years	41%	35%	37%	36%
	5 years or more	49%	60%	62%	61%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
MOVED ABROAD (>1 MONTH)	Yes	5%	3%	4%	3%
	No	95%	97%	96%	97%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
FEELING OF SAFETY	Yes	84%	99%	100%	99%
	No	16%	1%	0%	1%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
FREE TO MOVE	Yes	88%	98%	100%	99%
	No	12%	2%	0%	1%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
COMFORTABLE WITH PUBLIC AUTHORITIES	Yes	83%	96%	100%	97%
	No	17%	4%	0%	3%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
COPING STRATEGY INDEX IN CLASSES	0-3	35%	39%	73%	54%
	4-18	49%	44%	27%	36%
	19-42	16%	16%	0%	9%
	43-56	0%	1%	0%	0%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
ACCESS TO HEALTH (WHEN NEEDED)	Yes (or not needed)	62%	82%	99%	89%
	No	38%	18%	1%	11%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
ACCESS TO SANITATION	Yes	88%	85%	98%	91%
	No	12%	15%	2%	9%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
ACCESS TO DRINKING WATER	Yes	83%	83%	95%	88%
	No	17%	17%	5%	12%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
ADEQUACY OF ROOMS	Less than 3 people per room	69%	78%	92%	84%
	3 people ore more per room	31%	22%	8%	16%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
FEAR OF EVICTION	Yes	20%	31%	4%	18%
	No	80%	69%	96%	82%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

		PROGRESS TOWARDS DS			
		LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH	TOTAL
AT LEAST ONE EMPLOYED HH MEMBER (15–60 YEARS OLD)	No one is working	14%	10%	4%	7%
	One or more members are working	86%	90%	96%	93%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
MAIN ACTIVITY OF THE HOH	Daily wages, subsistence agriculture, unemployed or inactive	97%	80%	38%	62%
	Employed (public, private or self-employed) or retired	3%	20%	62%	38%
ABLE TO SUSTAIN UNEXPECTED EXPENSES	No	99%	89%	64%	78%
	Yes	1%	11%	36%	22%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
HAVE NATIONAL OR UNIFIED ID	No	62%	4%	0%	4%
	Yes	38%	96%	100%	96%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
HAVE BIRTH CERTIFICATE ⁹	No	1%	0%	0%	0%
	Yes	99%	100%	100%	100%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
HAVE IRAQI NATIONALITY	No	31%	3%	0%	3%
	Yes	69%	97%	100%	97%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
HAVE PSE CARD (FOR PDS)	No	5%	0%	0%	0%
	Yes	95%	100%	100%	100%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
HAVE ALL ESSENTIAL DOCUMENTS	No	70%	8%	1%	7%
	Yes	30%	92%	99%	93%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
ISSUES FOR OBTAINING DOCUMENTS	Attempted to obtain documents	95%	93%	67%	92%
	Too expensive	33%	47%	48%	42%
	Too time consuming	2%	1%	17%	2%
	No issues	65%	34%	34%	45%
	Lack of support	3%	1%	19%	2%
	Cannot pay bribes	2%	10%	0%	7%
	Do not have connections	4%	6%	0%	5%
	Live in disputed areas (cannot obtain)	1%	16%	0%	10%
VOTED IN 2021	Yes	85%	96%	100%	98%
	No or Prefer not to answer	15%	4%	0%	2%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
PARTICIPATION IN COMMUNITY OR SOCIAL ACTIVITY ¹⁰	No	44%	85%	87%	85%
	Yes	56%	15%	13%	15%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
DISCRIMINATION OR UNFAIR TREATMENT	Yes	3%	2%	0%	1%
	No	97%	98%	100%	99%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

⁹ Only for children born after 2014.

¹⁰ Activities include: community leadership group, labour group or cooperative, savings/credit group, sports group, education group (for learning or managing school issues), healthcare group, religious group, conflict management or resolution group, women's empowerment group and joining of protests or demonstrations. Participation was overall low, except for labour groups or cooperatives, religious groups and education groups among households in the lowest category (respectively 44%,43% and 21% attendance rates).

		PROGRESS TOWARDS DS			
		LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH	TOTAL
INVOLVED IN A DISPUTE WITH COMMUNITY MEMBERS	Yes	3%	2%	2%	2%
	No	97%	98%	98%	98%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
ASSISTANCE (IN THE LAST 3 MONTHS) AND PROVIDERS	Received assistance	10%	5%	7%	6%
	UN or another humanitarian organisation	15%	47%	32%	38%
	Government	77%	55%	75%	67%
	Family or friends	11%	6%	0%	3%
REGISTERED WITH MOMD	Yes	68%	56%	45%	51%
	No or Don't know	32%	44%	55%	49%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
ENTITLED TO COMPENSATION	Yes	84%	50%	29%	41%
	No or not aware of CCCA	16%	50%	71%	59%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
PREFERABLE SOLUTION IN THE NEXT 12 MONTHS	Stay in the current location	95%	94%	97%	95%
	Go abroad	0%	1%	1%	1%
	Undecided	2%	1%	0%	1%
	Move to a third location – within the country	3%	4%	2%	3%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

IOM IRAQ

 iraq.iom.int

 iomiraq@iom.int

UNAMI Compound (Diwan 2),
International Zone,
Baghdad/Iraq



@IOMIraq



IOM Iraq thanks the U.S. Department of State, Bureau of Population, Refugees and Migration (PRM) for its continued support.

© 2023 International Organization for Migration (IOM)

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without the prior written permission of the publisher.