

DTM Location Assessment Credibility Score

The credibility score of the Location Assessment is calculated based on four criteria are ranked using a six-category scoring scale: *Very High, High, Medium, Acceptable, Very Low,* and *Low*. The four criteria are:

- 1. The diversity of sources (Number of key informants. [0,1,2,3, >=4]).
- 2. The Availability of documentary evidence (question: do key informants have lists of IDPs? [Yes, No]).
- 3. The degree of agreement among the sources (Question: is the data consistent among key information? [ALL, Most, Some, None]).
- 4. The degree of consistency between the information provided by the sources and the data collector observations from the field. (*Question: Is the data consistent with your observation? [ALL, Most, Some, None]*).

The scoring differs slightly between locations with one or more sites. Two matrixes with the four criteria and an exhaustive list of combinations were created as reference for the scoring. Each column in the matrix represents one case, or one combination of the above four criteria, while each row represents the possible answers to the four criteria.

Records submitted with no key informants' information are rated as Very Low, and a follow-up action is taken to fill the missing information. The record is uploaded into the database only when the information is complete

In case of locations with multiple sites, it is recommended to interview four key informants and to have one local authority representative among them. The availability of IDP lists/records is very important to the scoring system. Location Assessment credibility varies between Low and Very Low when there is no IDP list.

Consistency of data with physical observation is more important than consistency of data among key informants. Given the circumstances in the field, key informants may have different information regarding a particular location. These differences may simply indicate that they have partial information and should not be interpreted as flawed. When information does not match physical observation and when there are no matches between information gathered from key informants, this overrules the presence of an IDP list, and the score should be dropped by one level.

An assessment is considered acceptable or above if a minimum two key informants are interviewed, if they have an IDP list or some form of written documentation, if at least part of the information matches physical observation, and at least part of the information matches among the key informants.

In case of locations with only one site, interviewing a local authority is less relevant, and one visit to the site and an interview to one or more IDPs is considered



sufficient. Because IDP representatives may not necessarily keep a list of the IDP population in the site, having a list does not feature in the scoring of single site location assessments. Similarly, the minimum criteria to rate a location assessment as acceptable are: one key informant, and most or all information provided by key informants matches the observation.

Score	Description of the criteria for <u>locations with</u> <u>multiple sites</u>	Description of the criteria for locations with one site only
Very High	4 or more key informants with a list and • All information matches observation and all or most information matches among key informants.	 2 or more key informants and All the information matches observation and all or most the information among different key informant matches.
High	 4 or more key informants with list and All information matches observation and some information matches among key informants; or Most information matches observation and all or most information matches among key informants. 3 Key informants with list and All information matches observation and all information matches among key informants. 	 2 or more key informants and Most of the information matches observation and all or most the information among different key informant matches. All information matches observation and some information matches among key informants.
Medium	 4 or more key informants with list and Most information matches observation and some information matches among key informants. 3 Key informants with list and All information matches observation and some or most information matches among key informants; or Most information matches observation and some or most or all information matches among key informants. 2 Key informants with list and 	 2 or more key informants and Most information matches observation and some information matches among key informants.; or Some information matches observation and all information matches among key informants.



Score	Description of the criteria for <u>locations with</u> <u>multiple sites</u>	Description of the criteria for locations with one site only
	All information matches observation and all information matches among key informants.	Tocations with one site only
Acceptable	 4 or more key informants with list and All or most or some information matches observation and no information matches among key informants; or Some information matches observation and some or most or all information matches among key informants. 3 Key informants with list and All or most information matches observation and none of the information matches among key informants; or Some information matches observation and all or most or some information matches among key informants. 2 Key informants with list and All information matches observation and none or some or most information matches among key informants; or Most or some information matches observation and all or most or some information matches among key informants. 	 All or most information matches observation and no information matches among key informants; or Some information matches observation and some or most information matches among key informants. 1 key informant and All or most information matches observation.
Low	 4 or more key informants with list and No information matches observation and all or most or some information matches among key informants. 4 or more key informants without list and All or most information matches observation; or Some information matches observation and all or most or some information matches among key informants. 	 2 or more Key informants and No information matches observation and some or most or all information matches among key informants; or Some information matches observation and no information matches among key informants.



Score	Description of the criteria for <u>locations with</u>	Description of the criteria for
	multiple sites	locations with one site only
	 3 key informants with list and Some information matches observation and no information matches among key informants; or No information matches observation and all or most information matches among key informants. 	 1 key informant and Some information matches observation.
	 3 key informants without list and All or most information matches observation; or Some information matches observation and all or most or some information matches among key informants. 	
	 2 key informants with list and Some or most information matches observation and no information matches among key informants. 	
	 2 key informants without list and All or most information matches observation; or Some information matches observation and all or most or some information matches among key informants 	
	1 key informant with list andAll or most information matches observation.	
Very Low	 4 or more key informants with list and No information matches observation and no information matches among key informants. 4 or more key informants without list and Some information matches observation and no information matches among key informants; or No information matches observation 	 2 or more key informants and No information matches observation and no information matches among key informants. 1 Key informant and No information matches observation.



Score	Description of the criteria for <u>locations with</u>	Description of the criteria for
	multiple sites	locations with one site only
	3 Key informants with list and	
	No information matches observation and	
	some or no information matches among key	
	informants.	
	3 Key informants without list and	
	Some information matches observation and	
	no information matches among key	
	informants.	
	or	
	No information matches observation.	
	2 Key informants with list and	
	No information matches observation.	
	2 Key informants without list and	
	No information matches observation	
	or	
	Some information matches observation and	
	no information matches among key	
	informants.	
	1 Key informant with list and	
	Some or none of the information matches observation	
	or	
	1 key informant without list	



Matrix for Locations with Multiple Sites

Info among KIs																															П	٦
All is matching	х				х				х				х				х				х				х				х			7
Most is matching		х				х				х				х				х		T		х				х				х	П	コ
Some is matching			х				х				х				х				х		1		х				х				х	7
None is matching				х				Х				Х				х				X				Х				х		П	П	x
Info between KI and RART observation																					Ì											٦
All is matching	х	х	х	х													х	х	х	х	Ì											٦
Most is matching					х	х	х	х													х	х	х	Х							П	
Some is matching									х	х	х	х													Х	х	Х	х				
None is matching													х	х	х	х					Ì								х	х	х	х
Has List	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х					Ì											٦
4 or more Kis	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х
3 KIs																				T										П	П	
2 KIs																				T										П	П	ヿ
1 KIs																					T											
0 KIs																														П	П	_
0 1.00	gh	gh	gh	e	gh	gh	ш	əle	ele.	ele.	ele	ele	Low	Low	Low	N ₀	Low	Low	<u>N</u>	Nol	Low	Low	N (low	Low	Low	Low	M	W	3	3	3
	Very High	Very High	High	Acceptable	High	High	Medium	Acceptable	Acceptable	Acceptable	Acceptable	Acceptable	I	Ŋ	Ľ	Very low	IC	P	2	2	7	Z	IC	ol	IC	P	Ic	Very low	Very low	Very low	Very low	스
	Ver	Ver		Acce			Σ	Acce	Accel	Accel	Acce	Acce				Ve												Ve	Ve	Ve	Ve	\ Ve
				4				1	1	1	1	1										!										
Info among KIs																																
All is matching	х				х				х				х				х				х				х				х			
Most is matching		х				х				х				х				х				х				х				х		
Some is matching			х				х				х				х				х				х				х				x	
None is matching				Х				Х				х				X				x				Х				Х				x
Info between KI and RART observation																																
All is matching	х	х	х	х													Х	х	х	х												
Most is matching					х	х	х	х													х	х	Х	Х								
Some is matching									х	х	х	х													х	х	х	х				٦
None is matching													х	х	х	х													х	х	х	х
Has List	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х															П	
4 or more Kis																																
3 KIs	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	Х	х	х	х	Х	х	х	х	х	х	х	Х	х	х	Х	х	х	х	х	х
2 KIs																																
1 KIs																					Ì											٦
0 KIs																				T										П	П	ヿ
	High	띡	Ę	əlc	шr	Ę	드	əlc	əlc	əlc	əlc	Low	Low	Low	NO.	WC	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	WC	WC	MC	ΜC	WC.
	Ξ	Medium	Medium	Acceptable	Medium	Medium	Medium	Acceptable	ptal	ptal	ptal	7	L	-	Very low	Very low	_	7	_	-	-	7	_	L	L	٦	L	Very low	Very low	ery lo	Very low	ery lo
		2	2	Acce	2	2	2	Ассе	Acceptable	Acceptable	Acceptable				>	>												۸	Š	Š	Š	Š
																					•	•										_
		1		1		1	1				1	1																				_
Info among KIs																				_	_									Н	\vdash	_
All is matching	х				х				х				х				х			_	х				х				х	Н	\vdash	_
Most is matching		х				х				х				х				х			4	х				х				х	Ш	
Some is matching			х				х				х				х				х		4		х				х			Ш	х	
None is matching				Х				X				Х				Х				Х				Х				Х		Ш	Ш	Х
Info between KI and RART observation																				_										Ш	Ш	
All is matching	х	Х	х	х													Х	х	Х	х	4									Ш	Ш	
Most is matching					Х	х	х	х													х	х	х	Х						Ш	Ш	
Some is matching									х	х	х	Х													Х	х	Х	х		Ш	Ш	
None is matching													х	х	Х	х													х	х	х	х
Has List	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х														Ш	Ш	
4 or more Kis																Ш		Ш												Ш	Ш	
3 KIs	L		L																											ĹŪ	آ_ا	
2 KIs	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	Х
1 KIs																																
0 KIs																															П	_]
	mn	ple	ple	ple	ple	ple	ble	WO	ble	ble	ple	Low	ow	wo	ow	wo	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	wo	wo	wo	wo	wo
	Medium	Acceptable	Acceptable	Acceptable	Acceptable	Acceptable	Acceptable		Acceptable	Acceptable	Acceptable		ery	Very low	ery	Very low												Very low	Very low	ery	Very low	ery
	_	Acc	Acc	Acc	Acc	Acc	Acc		Acc	Acc	Acc		>	>	>	>												>	>	>	>	>
	_							_		_																				_	_	_



Info among KIs									
All is matching									
Most is matching									
Some is matching									
None is matching									
Info between KI and RART observation									
All is matching	х				х				
Most is matching		х				Х			
Some is matching			Х				х		
None is matching				Х				Х	
Has List	х	х	Х	Х					
4 or more Kis									
3 KIs									
2 KIs									
1 KIs	х	х	Х	х	х	Х	х	х	
0 KIs									х
	Low	wo	W _O	WO	WO	WO	WO	WO	% o
		_	ery	ery	ery	ery	Verylow	ery	Verylow

Matrix for Locations with One Site

Info among KIs																					
All is matching	х				х				х				Х								
Most is matching		х				х				х				х							
Some is matching			х				х				Х				Х						
None is matching				Х				Х				Х				Х					
Info between KI and RART observation																					
All is matching	х	Х	х	х													Х				
Most is matching					х	х	х	х										х			
Some is matching									х	х	Х	Х							х		
None is matching													Х	х	х	х				х	
2 or more KIs	х	Х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х					
1 KIS																	Х	Х	х	х	
0 KIs																					х
	Very High	Very High	High	Acceptable	High	High	Medium	Acceptable	Medium	Acceptable	Acceptable	Low	Low	Low	Low	Very low	Acceptable	Acceptable	Low	Very low	Very low